



Alternative Learning Spaces: Spatial Analysis Tool







ARTIT





Purpose of the Tool

The Futures Designed project aims to guide interested individuals, educational organisations and cultural institutions for developing and implementing alternative learning spaces in Arts & Design Education, in accordance with the values of the New European Bauhaus (NEB). The Spatial Analysis Tool is designed to help evaluate the quality and effectiveness of alternative learning spaces.

If the space scores over 60 points, it is a suitable alternative learning space.

Introduction

* Indica 1.	Email *
2. Maps.	What is the space's name? Please also provide a link to its location on Google

Criterion 1 - Physical Environment

In this section, we are focusing on accessibility by exploring how easy it is to navigate and how well it accommodates everyone. Check safety measures and evaluate comfort—think about seating, temperature, and the overall vibe that makes learning enjoyable.

How accessible is the learning space for individuals with different abilities? *

Mark	only	one	e ova	ıl.		
	,	1	2	3	4	5
)	0	0		\bigcirc
Not a	access	sibl	е		V	ery accessibl

4. How adequate are the transportation options for reaching the learning space? *

Mark only one oval.

3.



Not adequate

Very adequate

5. How safe do you consider the learning space for all users? *

Mark only one oval.



Not safe

Very safe

6. How comfortable is the learning space regarding seating, lighting, temperature, and noise levels? *
Mark only one oval.
1 2 3 4 5
0000
Not comfortable Very comfortable
7. Comments
Criterion 2 - Educational Resources & Performance
In this section, we are looking into the means of learning and engagement levels: infrastructure, materials, digital tools, participation in activities and interactive learning opportunities.
8. How adequate are the facilities for supporting the specific learning activities? *
Mark only one oval.
1 2 3 4 5
0000
Not adequate Very adequate

9.	Ar	e the	ere a	ppro	pria	te spaces	s for both individual and group learning activities? *
Mar	k onl	y one	e ova	al.			
		1	2	3	4	5	
		0	0	0	0	0	· -
Not	appr	opria	ite		V	ery appro	priate
10.	Н	ow ei	ngag	jed a	re le	arners in	this space? *
Mar	k onl	y one	e ova	al.			
		1	2	3	4	5	
			0			0	·
Not	enga	iged		Ver	y eng	gaged	
11.	Δr	e the	ere i	ntera	active	e and har	nds-on learning opportunities available? *
	k onl						3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
		1		3	4	5	
		0	0	0	0	0	
Not	satis	facto	ory		V	ery satis	factory
12.	C	, mm	onto				
12.	C	omm	ents				

Criterion 3 - Environmental Sustainability

In this section we are considering energy efficiency, waste management practices, and eco-friendly initiatives.



Mark only one oval.



Not efficient

Very efficient

14. Are there any renewable energy sources utilised in the learning space? *

Mark only one oval.



Not satisfactory

Very satisfactory

15. Are sufficient recycling facilities available? *

Mark only one oval.



Not sufficient

Very sufficient

16. Are efforts made to minimise single-use plastics and other non-recyclable Materials? *
Mark only one oval.
1 2 3 4 5
0000
Not satisfactory Very satisfactory
17. Comments
Criterion 4 - Pedagogical Considerations
In this section we are focusing on collaborative opportunities by examining how the space facilitates teamwork and group projects, on inclusivity by considering how diverse perspectives and backgrounds are welcomed and supported, and on whether there is support for creativity and innovation by exploring the availability of resources and environments that encourage experimentation and new ideas.
18. Are there opportunities for interdisciplinary projects and teamwork? *

Mark only one oval.

2 3 4 5

Not satisfactory Very satisfactory

Marl	k only	one	e ova	ıl.								
		1	2	3	4	5						
		0	0	0	0							
Not	satis	facto	ory		V	ery satisf	actory					
20.	ls	ther	e a d	esig	nate	d infrastr	ructure fo	or gro	up wo	ork and	l discu	ssions?
Marl	k only	one	e ova	ıl.								
		1	2	3	4	5						
						\bigcirc	-					
Not	satisi	facto	ory		V	ery satisf	actory					
21.	Are	e the	ere r	esou	ırces	and spac	ces for c	reativ	e acti	vities?	*	
Marl	k only	one	e ova	ıl.								
		1	2	3	4	5						
		0	0			\bigcirc						
Not	satisi	facto	ory		V	ery satisf	actory					
22.	Co	mm	ents									
	00											

Are there accommodations available for learners with special needs? *

19.

Criterion 5 - Community and Social Aspects

In this section, we are observing the involvement with the local community by assessing partnerships and engagement with nearby organisations and the space's role in fostering social connections through community events, networking opportunities, and collaborative projects.

23.	Но	w ei	ngag	ged is	s the	local co
Mark	k only	one	e ova	al.		
		1	2	3	4	5
	(
Not	engag	ged		Ver	y eng	jaged
24.	Are	e the	ere p	artn	ershi	ips with
Mark	k only	one	e ova	al.		
		1	2	3	4	5
	(
Not	satisf	acto	ory		V	ery satis
25.	Но	w w	ell d	loes	the s	pace fac
Mark	k only	one	e ova	al.		
		1	2	3	4	5
	(0	0			0
Not	well					Very w

space:
Mark only one oval.
1 2 3 4 5
0000
Not satisfactory Very satisfactory
27. Comments
Overall Score
1 (10-19 Poor)
2 (20 - 39 Unsatisfactory)
3 (40 - 59 Neither unsatisfactory or satisfactory)
4 (60-79 Satisfactory)
5 (80-100 Very satisfactory)
28. What is the overall score? *
Mark only one oval.
1 2 3 4 5

Not satisfactory Very satisfactory

26. Are there opportunities for community members to contribute to the learning

29.	Additional Comments
30. on th	How is the space currently used and for which purposes? Please also comment in enumber of persons occupying the space, the duration of time spent there, etc.*
Vis	sual documentation
31.	Please upload visual documentation of the space (photos, floor plan) *
Files	submitted: